| OCIO Project #: 05 | ADER Replacement System 30-200 | | Team Member to Proje | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|--|--|
| | fice of Systems Integration | | | N | Manag | | |
| Reporting Period: _ F | from: To: | | | • | ·iaiiag | | |
| | Current Ta | sk Summary | | | | | |
| Task or Deliverable | | | Scheduled Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Issues | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Accomplished this w | reek | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Planned/Scheduled | Completion in Next Two Weeks | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Status Summary | Yes/No | 1 | Explanation | | | |
| Will all assigned tasks | be accomplished by their due date? | Tes/No | | Explanation | | | |
| Will all assigned tasks | be accomplished by their due date: | | | | | | |
| | tasks that won't be completed? | | | | | | |
| Are there problems wi | nich affect your ability to accomplish assig | nea | | | | | |

Status of Assigned Issues

Do you plan to take time off that is not currently scheduled?

| Issue Number | Description | Due Date | Status |
|--------------|-------------|----------|--------|

CA-PMM _

| Project Name: | LEADER Replacement System | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------|--|--|
| OCIO Project #: 0530-200 | | | Team Member to Project | | | |
| Department: | Office of Systems Ir | ntegration | | Managar | | |
| Reporting Period: | From: | То: | | Manager | | |
| | | | | İ | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Project Name: | LEADER Re | placement Sy | ystem |
|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------|
|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------|

OCIO Project #: 0530-200

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Reporting Period: *From:* 11/1/09 *To:* 11/30/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

Current Status Report

| Questions | Yes/No | Cause | Impact | Action Required |
|---|--------|-------|--------|-----------------|
| Were recent milestones completed on schedule? | Yes | | | |
| 2. Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled? | No | | | |
| 3. Was work done that was not planned? | No | | | |
| 4. Were there any changes to scope? | No | | | |
| 5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated? | No | | | |
| 6. Were any tasks or milestones removed? | No | | | |
| 7. Were any scheduled tasks not started? | No | | | |
| 8. Are there any new major issues? | No | | | |
| 9. Are there any staffing problems? | No | | | |

| Project Name: | LEADER Re | placement Sy | ystem |
|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------|
|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------|

OCIO Project #: 0530-200

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Reporting Period: *From:* 11/1/09 *To:* 11/30/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

Look Ahead View

| Questions | Yes/No | Impact | Action Required |
|---|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed? | No | | |
| Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled? | No | | |
| 3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done? | No | | |
| Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope? | No | | |
| 5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added? | No | | |
| Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan? | No | | |
| 7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed? | No | | |
| 8. Are any major new issues foreseeable? | No | | |
| Are any staffing problems anticipated? | No | | |

| Project Name: L | EADER Replacement Sys | stem |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------|
|-----------------|-----------------------|------|

OCIO Project #: 0530-200

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Reporting Period: *From:* 11/1/09 *To:* 11/30/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

Current Status and Accomplishments:

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

Contract negotiations with the selected vendor began on November 18, 2009 as scheduled.

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

| Milestone | Target Date | Forecast Date | Status | Cause & Impact to Implementation Date | Date Completed |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|--|----------------|
| Proposal Evaluation and Selection | 6/22/09 | 7/8/09 | | | 7/30/09 |
| Contract Negotiations | 2/28/10 | 2/28/10 | On Target | | |
| Start of DD&I | 7/1/10 | 7/1/10 | On Target | | |

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

| | On Plan <5% | Caution 5-10% | Significant Variance >10% | Action Required |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Schedule | X | | | |
| Milestones | × | | | |
| Deliverables | × | | | |
| Resources | × | | | |
| OneTime Cost | Х | | | |
| Continuing Cost | N/A | | | |

Project Name: LEADER Replacement System

OCIO Project #: 0530-200

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Reporting Period: *From:* 11/1/09 *To:* 11/30/09

Project Manager to Sponsor

OSladmin #0530-200-1109SRg.XLS PM to Sponsor (2) Page 6 of 10

| Project Name: LEADER Replacement System | _ |
|---|----------------------|
| OCIO Project #: 0530-200 | Sponsor to Executive |
| Department: Office of Systems Integration | Committee |
| Reporting Period: <i>From:</i> 11/1/09 <i>To:</i> 11/30/09 | Committee |

Summary Milestones and Highlights

Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.

| Milestone | Target Date | Forecast Date | Status | If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date | Date Completed |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|---|----------------|
| Proposal Evaluation and Selection | 6/22/09 | 7/8/09 | | | 7/30/09 |
| Contract Negotiations | 2/28/10 | 2/28/10 | On Target | | |
| Start of DD&I | 7/1/10 | 7/1/10 | On Target | | |

Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

| | On Plan <5% | Caution 5-10% | Significant Variance >10% | Action Required |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Schedule | Х | | | |
| Milestones | Х | | | |
| Deliverables | Х | | | |
| Resources | Х | | | |
| One Time Cost | Х | | | |
| Continuing Cost | N/A | | | |

| Project Name: | LEADER F | Replacement Sy | stem | _ |
|-------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| OCIO Project #: | 0530-200 | | | Sponsor to Executive |
| Department: | Office of S | Systems Integrat | ion | - Committee |
| Reporting Period: | From: | 11/1/09 | To: 11/30/09 | Committee |

Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard

| Vital Sign Variance | | Value | Your Score | Score Justification |
|---|----------------------------------|-------|------------|---------------------|
| | High Degree of Buy-In | 0 | o | |
| Customer Buy-In | Medium Degree of Buy-In | 1 | Green | |
| | Low Degree of Buy-In | 2 | Š | |
| | Strong Viability | 0 | G | |
| Technology Viability | Medium Viability | 1 | Green O | |
| | Weak Viability | 2 | ă | |
| | <5% | 0 | O | |
| Status of the Critical Path (delay) | 5% to 10% | 1 | Green | |
| | >10% | 2 | š | |
| 4. Cook to Data va Fatinatad Cook | <5% | 0 | 0 | |
| 4. Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to-Date (higher) | 5% to 10% | 1 | Green | |
| to-Date (fligher) | >10% | 2 | ă | |
| E TEST BOLLEYE TEST TO SE | 0 to 3 | 0 | Green | |
| 5. High-Probability, High-Impact Risks | 4 to 6 | 1 | | |
| RISKS | >6 | 2 | | |
| 6. Unresolved Issues | On time | 0 | Green | |
| (on time resolution) | Late with no impact | 1 | | |
| | Late impacting the critical path | 2 | ä | |
| | Fully engaged | 0 | 0 | |
| 7. Sponsorship Commitment | Partially engaged | 1 | Green | |
| | Inadequate engagement | 2 | ă | |
| | Strong alignment | 0 | 0 | |
| Strategy Alignment | Partial alignment | 1 | Green | |
| | Weak or no alignment | 2 | 'n | |
| | Strong | 0 | | |
| 9. Value-to-Business | Medium | 1 | Gree O | |

Project Name: LEADER Replacement System

OCIO Project #: 0530-200

Department: Office of Systems Integration

Reporting Period: *From:* 11/1/09 *To:* 11/30/09

Sponsor to Executive Committee

Weak 2

| Project Name: LEADER Replacement System | |
|--|----------------------|
| OCIO Project #: 0530-200 | Sponsor to Executive |
| Department: Office of Systems Integration | Committee |
| Reporting Period: From: 11/1/09 To: 11/30/09 | Committee |

| | | Total | 0 | G | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| | Ineffective | 2 | en | | |
| 5. Team Effectiveness | Moderately Effective | 1 | 0 | Gree | |
| | Highly Effective | 0 | 0 | | |
| % of effort that is overtime) | >25% | 2 | en | | |
| 4. Overtime Utilization | 15-25% | 1 | 0 76 | Gree | |
| 14.0 6 1165 6 | <15% | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | <80% assigned and available | 2 | ən | | |
| 13. Actual vs. Planned Resources | 80-90% assigned and available | 1 | 0 170 | Gree | |
| | >90% assigned and available | 0 | 0 | | |
| Tate of production as planned) | <80% on time | 2 | 'n | | |
| 12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned) | 80-90% on time | 1 | 0 | Green | |
| 12. Deliverable Hit Dete | >90% on time | 0 | 0 | | |
| tate of achievement as planned) | <80% on time | 2 | 3 | | |
| 11. Milestone Hit Rate (rate of achievement as planned) | 80-90% on time | 1 | 0 | Green | |
| 14 Milestone Lit Date | >90% on time | 0 | | 0 | |
| following the scorecard) | Weak | 2 | Green | | |
| ationale for the rating in the field | Medium | 1 | | | |
| 0. Vendor Viability (provide | Strong | 0 | ര | | |

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19 Red = 20+

| Vendor Viability Rating Rationale | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| High degree of confidence and collaboration with the LRS planning vendor and LRS PMO. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |